О жизни нелинейных морских волн в пространстве Фурье

Алексей Слюняев

Институт прикладной физики РАН, Нижний Новгород



Russian Science Foundation



1. The original motivation of this work was different

2. The effects look trivial, but 'must see'

3. Hope to highlight some amusing features of this 'ordinary' stuff

4. Some introduction for the educational purpose

Лекция С.И. Бадулина (вторник) «Азбука развития морского волнения» Уравнения К. Хассельмана: кинетическое уравнение для ветровых волн

Уравнения В.Е. Захарова: гамильтонов формализм и динамические уравнения на нелинейные квартеты или пятерки

Условие **нелинейного** резонанса четверки волн

$$\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 = \mathbf{k}_3 + \mathbf{k}_4$$

 $\boldsymbol{\omega} = \boldsymbol{\omega}(\mathbf{k}) \qquad \qquad \boldsymbol{\omega}_1 + \boldsymbol{\omega}_2 = \boldsymbol{\omega}_3 + \boldsymbol{\omega}_4$

Форма дисперсионного соотношения определяет, какие взаимодействия возможны (степень нелинейности, геометрия квартетов в области волновых векторов).

Коэффициент нелинейного взаимодействия (интеграл столкновений для кинетической теории) определяет **эффективность взаимодействия** (может обращаться в ноль). Dyachenko et al (2016): (планарные волны на глубокой воде): если все волны изначально бегут вправо, то волны влево **не** возникнут.

Пример трехволновых резонансов на дискретной сетке к



$$\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 = \mathbf{k}_3, \quad \mathbf{k} = (k_x, k_y)$$
$$\omega_1 + \omega_2 = \omega_3$$

Example of geometrical structure, spectral domain $|\mathbf{k}| < 50$

Example of topological structure, the same spectral domain. The number in brackets shows how many times corresponding cluster appears in the chosen spectral domain

[Kartashova & Mayrhofer, 2007]

Роль нерезонансных взаимодействий



$$\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 = \mathbf{k}_3$$
$$\omega_1 + \omega_2 \approx \omega_3$$

$$(k_1, \omega_1) + (k_2, \omega_2) \approx (k_3, \omega_3)$$

Stiassnie & Shemer (2005): наиболее эффективное взаимодействие **НЕ** при точном выполнении резонансных условий

Annenkov & Shrira (2006): «выколотые» точные резонансы НЕ изменяют динамику существенно Рецепт численного моделирования: *Є* - область вместо *б* - функции



1. The toolkit & Linear problem

2. One nonlinear wave

3. Wave-group linear resonances

4. Nonlinear wave-group resonances

1. The toolkit & Linear problem

The toolkit

A real-valued field in a space-time domain (the deep-water surface displacement caused by collinear gravity waves)





The toolkit



Some time averaging always takes place



The toolkit



JONSWAP spectrum, the linear simulation

wavenumber, rad/m

 $H_s = 3.3 \text{ m}, T_p = 10 \text{ s}, \gamma = 3$ cut-off 5 -1 The energy location 4.5 follows the linear 4 -2 dispersion curve 3.5 -3 frequency, rad/s 3 -4 2.5 2 -5 [•]01 Spectrum m²s [•]01 m² m²s 1.5 sea state -6 JONSWAP 10⁻⁶ 0.5 1.5 2.5 0 2 frequency, rad/s -7 0.5 ⁰⁻⁰ Spectrum m³ ^{10⁻²} 10⁻⁴ ^{10⁻⁶} 10⁻⁶ 10⁰ sea state 0 8 IONSWAF -0.6 -0.4 -0.2 0.2 0.4 0.6 0 10-6 0.1 0.6 0.2 0.4 0.5 wavenumber, rad/m 0.3

2. One nonlinear wave

A sinusoidal wavetrain, primitive potential hydrodyn. eqs.

Initial condition:

 $\eta(x,0) = A\cos(k_0 x)$ $\Phi^s(x,0) = AC_{ph}\sin(k_0 x)$ $k_0 = 1, \ \varepsilon = Ak_0 = 0.1, \ g = 1$

$$\log_{10} \sqrt{\frac{S(\omega,k)}{\max S(\omega,k)}}$$

Amplitudes to order ε^1 are shown



A sinusoidal wavetrain, primitive potential hydrodyn. eqs.

Initial condition:

 $\eta(x,0) = A\cos(k_0 x)$ $\Phi^s(x,0) = AC_{ph}\sin(k_0 x)$ $k_0 = 1, \varepsilon \equiv Ak_0 = 0.1, g = 1$

$$\log_{10} \sqrt{\frac{S(\omega,k)}{\max S(\omega,k)}}$$

Amplitudes to order \mathcal{E}^1 are shown



A sinusoidal wavetrain, primitive potential hydrodyn. eqs.

Initial condition:

 $\eta(x,0) = A\cos(k_0 x)$ $\Phi^s(x,0) = AC_{ph}\sin(k_0 x)$

 $k_0 = 1, \ \varepsilon \equiv Ak_0 = 0.1, \ g = 1$

Amplitudes to order ε^2 are shown

The second harmonic $\sim \varepsilon^2$



A sinusoidal wavetrain, primitive potential hydrodyn. eqs.

Initial condition:

 $\eta(x,0) = A\cos(k_0 x)$ $\Phi^s(x,0) = AC_{ph}\sin(k_0 x)$

 $k_0 = 1, \ \varepsilon = Ak_0 = 0.1, \ g = 1$

Amplitudes to order ε^2 are shown

The second harmonic $\sim \varepsilon^2$

+ free waves at the 1 double wavenumber (following and opposite)⁰



A sinusoidal wavetrain, primitive potential hydrodyn. eqs.

Initial condition:

 $\eta(x,0) = A\cos(k_0 x)$ $\Phi^s(x,0) = AC_{ph}\sin(k_0 x)$ $k_0 = 1, \ \varepsilon = Ak_0 = 0.1, \ g = 1$

Amplitudes to order \mathcal{E}^3 are shown



A sinusoidal wavetrain, primitive potential hydrodyn. eqs.

Initial condition:

 $\eta(x,0) = A\cos(k_0 x)$ $\Phi^s(x,0) = AC_{ph}\sin(k_0 x)$ $k_0 = 1, \ \varepsilon = Ak_0 = 0.1, \ g = 1$

Amplitudes to order \mathcal{E}^3 are shown



A sinusoidal wavetrain, primitive potential hydrodyn. eqs.

Initial condition:

 $\eta(x,0) = A\cos(k_0 x)$ $\Phi^s(x,0) = AC_{ph}\sin(k_0 x)$ $k_0 = 1, \ \varepsilon = Ak_0 = 0.1, \ g = 1$

Amplitudes to order \mathcal{E}^6 are shown



A sinusoidal wavetrain, primitive potential hydrodyn. eqs.

Initial condition:

 $\eta(x,0) = A\cos(k_0 x)$ $\Phi^s(x,0) = AC_{ph}\sin(k_0 x)$ $k_0 = 1, \ \varepsilon = Ak_0 = 0.1, \ g = 1$

Amplitudes to order ε^6 are shown

The phase-locked (bound) waves are located at $(nk_0, n\omega_0)$ – for the primary and secondary waves



A sinusoidal wavetrain, primitive potential hydrodyn. eqs.

Initial condition:

 $\eta(x,0) = A\cos(k_0 x)$ $\Phi^s(x,0) = AC_{ph}\sin(k_0 x)$

 $k_0 = 1, \ \varepsilon \equiv Ak_0 = 0.1, \ g = 1$

Amplitudes to order \mathcal{E}^8 are shown A uniform sin wave with³ given length generates 2 plenty of unwanted energetic spots in the 1 Fourier domain – **free and bound waves**!



Generation of 'true' nonlinear waves – the Stokes waves

Sinusoidal initial condition

Stokes wave



D.Dommermuth (2000) The initialization of nonlinear waves using an adjustment scheme. Wave Motion 32, 307-317: nonlinear terms are enforced slowly

Fourier transform of irregular waves

JONSWAP irregular waves, primitive potential hydrodyn. eqs.

 $H_s = 3.3 \text{ m}, T_p = 10 \text{ s}, \gamma = 3, \varepsilon_s = 0.07$

 $H_s = 7 \text{ m}, T_p = 10.5 \text{ s}, \gamma = 3.3, \varepsilon_s = 0.13$



The spectral lobes responsible for free and bound waves are well separated, though they overlap in either spatial or frequency transforms !

3. Wave-group linear resonances

Fourier transform of envelope solitons

Narrow Gaussian spectrum, nonlinear Schrodinger eq-n

 $H_s = 3.3 \text{ m}, T_p = 10 \text{ s}, \Delta \omega / \omega = 0.1, \varepsilon_s = 0.07$

Describes the evolution of an envelope of free waves under the assumption of slow modulations of small waves

The spectrum does not follow the dispersive relation

Formation of coherent wave groups – envelope solitons



k

The qualitative description

for four waves

the exact resonance

 (\mathcal{O})

 $k_{-1} + k_{+1} = k_0 + k_0$

 $\omega_{-1} + \omega_{+1} = \omega_0 + \omega_0$

dispersive relation

Fulfillment of the resonance conditions **is not sufficient** to provide the energy exchange (e.g., the linear problem)

The qualitative description



The qualitative description

ω

a coherent wave group = envelope soliton or breather Its shape is determined by non-resonant interactions $k_0 + k_{+2} = k_{+1} + k_{+1}$

$$\omega_0 + \omega_{+2} = \omega_{+1} + \omega_{+1}$$

• • • • • • •

linear group (dispersing)

k

()

The four-wave interactions are sufficient for this effect

+2

The qualitative description

ω

The life is more complicated:

more quartets with bound waves

$$k_{-2} + k_{+2} = k_0 + k_0$$

$$\omega_{-2} + \omega_{+2} = \omega_0 + \omega_0$$

- nonlinear coupling coefficients
 - dependence on the initial energy distribution among the waves



The qualitative description



The very general situation

Modulated signal $\eta(x)$ with complex envelope A(x)

$$\eta(x) = A(x)\exp(ik_0x) + A^*(x)\exp(-ik_0x)$$



The very general situation



The very general situation

Modulated signal $\eta(x)$ $\eta(x) = A(x)\exp(ik_0x) + A^*(x)\exp(-ik_0x)$ with complex envelope A(x)What if discrete k (periodic domain)? S If $\omega \neq C k$, C = Const $F(A^*)$ Α[^])

The very general situation

Modulated signal $\eta(x)$ with complex envelope A(x)

 $\eta(x) = A(x)\exp(ik_0x) + A^*(x)\exp(-ik_0x)$

In some sense similar to the aliasing effect



Fourier transform of a solitary group

Soliton-like group, primitive potential hydrodyn. eqs.



Resonance between waves and moving objects (Cherenkov radiation)

The general idea



The general idea



The general idea

ω

If such interaction may occur, the groups are not solitons, but rather quasi-solitons [Zakharov & Kuznetsov, 1998]



The general idea



The general idea



Then, the wave emission it is a strongly non-resonant four-wave interaction

$$k_1 + k_3 = k_2 + k_2$$
$$\omega_1 + \omega_3 = \omega_2 + \omega_2$$

4. Nonlinear wave-group resonances [Accurate numerical simulations of the modulational instability]

The initial condition: one nonlinear wave



The initial condition

- The 'numerically exact' Stokes wave, i.e., the stationary solution of the Euler eqs. for the potential movement of ideal fluid. Due to the choice of the wave steepness, $\varepsilon \equiv k_0 H/2$ and the size of the periodic computational domain, *L*, only one mode of the modulational instability may develop.
- The initial perturbations are at the level of the computer round-off.
- Simulation of the full potential hydrodynamic eqs in conformal variables.
- The Fourier transform should be as simple for interpretation as possible



The modulational instability



The modulational instability

Evolution of the maximum surface elevation and three selections:



The modulational instability: growing modulations



The modulational instability: growing modulations



e = 0.07,
$$L/\lambda = 6$$

-1
-2 Wavenumber
Fourier transform
-3 above
-4 Frequency
Fourier transforms
-5 at the sides
-6
Normalized
-7 Fourier amplitudes
are shown in the
logarithmic scale,
log₁₀F(η(x,t))

The modulational instability: growing modulations



0 $\varepsilon = 0.07, L/\lambda = 6$ -1 Wavenumber -2 Fourier transform above -3 -4 Frequency Fourier transforms -5 at the sides -6 Normalized -7 Fourier amplitudes are shown in the -8 logarithmic scale, $\log_{10} F(\eta(x,t))$







The modulational instability: demodulation stage



-1 -2 -3 -4 -5 The modulation has relaxed, -6 but the new wave component -7 remains - the free -8 (true) wave

 $\varepsilon = 0.07, L/\lambda = 6$

0

The evolution

$\varepsilon = 0.07, L/\lambda = 6$



The modulational instability: demodulation stage



 $\varepsilon = 0.11, L/\lambda = 4$ -1 -2 -3

0

-5

-6

-7

-8

The modulational instability: the focusing event



0 $\varepsilon = 0.146, L/\lambda = 3$ -1(different Initial condition) -2 -3 -4 -5 -6 -7 -8

The modulational instability: demodulation stage



 $\varepsilon = 0.146, L/\lambda = 3$ -1 -2 The bound wave -3 component

0

-4

- resulted in an occurrence of a
- new ('true') wave

-6 This wave cannot be distinguished
-7 with the help of a 1D - spatial or
-8 temporal Fourier transform!

The 'nonlinear dispersive' relation: the initial condition



The 'nonlinear dispersive' relation: the initial condition



Visualization of the dispersive relation



 $\varepsilon = 0.11, L/\lambda = 4$

0

-1

Noisy perturbations -2at all wavenumbers were introduced -3initially to make the actual dispersive -4 relation visible

 ⁻⁵ The excursion from the linear
 ⁻⁶ dispersive curve is
 ₋₇ obvious, especially in the short-wave
 ₋₈ bound

Visualization of the dispersive relation



ε = 0.11, L/λ = 4
-1
-2 The actual dispersive relation
-3 may be described with the help of a

0

-7

-8

- -4 modified dependence
- -5 obtained within the weakly nonlinear
 - theory for interacting waves
 - (the long-dashed curve)

Spectra of nonlinear wave systems

Narrow Gaussian spectrum (more intense), HOSM (M = 6)



Conclusions - Technical

Неаккуратное возбуждение простой нелинейной волны с помощью простой линейной приводит к очень «богатому» спектру Фурье и нежелательным физическим эффектам (напр., стоячие волны). Рецепт избавления от проблемы известен

Требование узкого спектра для описания модуляций волн должно выполняться в пространстве волновых чисел и частот, а не в какихто его проекциях

Привычные операции с модулированными волнами могут давать «странные» физически значимые эффекты

Фурье анализ в пространстве-времени – мощный (но не всесильный) инструмент для исследования нелинейных процессов («скрытые» резонансы)

Conclusions - Physical

Описанные эффекты имеют универсальный характер

Спектр Фурье солитона огибающей совсем не следует дисперсионной кривой. Сильно модулированные когерентные группы даже из слабонелинейных волн радикально меняют картину волновых резонансов (квазирезонансов)

Сильно нелинейные волны с одной длиной изменяют дисперсионные свойства волн с другой длиной

Связанные нелинейные компоненты – не обязательно «рабы» своих родителей – свободных волн (степеней свободы), они могут являться причиной динамических эффектов: приводить к генерации новых свободных волн и «обогащать» спектр

Утверждение, что однонаправленные волны на глубокой воде не рождают встречных волн – не совсем верно

Бризеры в исходных уравнениях гидродинамики (~ дышащие сепаратрисные решения для модуляций) не существуют с математической точки зрения

A.V. Slunyaev, Group-wave resonances in nonlinear dispersive media. Phys. Rev. E 97, 010202(R) (2018)

A. Slunyaev, A. Dosaev, On the incomplete recurrence of modulationally unstable deep-water surface gravity waves. arXiv: 1710.01477

Thank you very much for your attention!